Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/768,450	SLOOT, ALEXANDE	R
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cheryl N Hawkins	1734	
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: In Condition for Allowar	ice
(1) <u>Cheryl N Hawkins</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Todd M Oberdick</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 23 January 2004	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No	cant's representative)		
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: N/A			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate 	ne examiner will provide a	a written summary of the s	ubstance
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa	ry by the examiner appea	irs in Part II above.	
Cheryl n. Hawkin 1/23/04			;
	t/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropria	ate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Hawkins telephoned Attorney Oberdick to request that supplementary language be added to the specification to provide antecedent basis for the limitations of Claim 9, which would bring the application into condition for allowance. Attorney Oberdick suggested that a sentence be added to the first paragraph on page 11 of the specification as follows: --Vinyl layers 174 may comprise two separate pieces of material or may comprise a single piece of material folded back on itself.-- Examiner Hawkins agreed to execute the change via an Examiner's Amendment.